# Application of Linear Algebra to Differential Equations

Segment 5: More Examples

Carl C. Cowen

**IUPUI** 

Math 35300, April 26, 2014

#### OUTLINE

- Segment 1. Introduction; the equation Y' = AY
- Segment 2. The matrix exponential
- Segment 3. Spectral Mapping Theorem for matrix exponential
- Segment 4. Some easy examples
- Segment 5. More examples
- Segment 6. Complication: A not diagonalizable
- Segment 7. An example with A not diagonalizable

**References:** Section 8.3, Section 10.2

**Problems:** For Discussion May 1: page 328: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 page 392: 1, 2, 4

Again we want to use the results of Segments 2 and 3:

**Theorem:** If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and C is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then the function  $Y(t) = e^{tA}C$  is the unique solution of the initial value problem: Y' = AY and Y(0) = C and also:

#### Theorem:

If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors for A associated with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , then the unique solution of the initial value problem: Y' = AY, Y(0) = C is  $Y(t) = \alpha_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} v_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n e^{\lambda_n t} v_n$ , where  $C = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$ 

## Example:

Solve the initial value problem: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2' = -y_1 + 2y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 3 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

## Example:

Solve the initial value problem:  $\begin{cases} y_1' = 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2' = -y_1 + 2y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 3 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

We can rewrite this as Y' = FY and Y(0) = R by choosing

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

As before, we will use Matlab to do the calculations.

From the Matlab computations, the solution of Y' = FY, Y(0) = R is

$$Y(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} w_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} w_2$$

where  $c_1 = 2.1213, c_2 = 2.1213$ 

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ 0.7071i \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ -0.7071i \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\lambda_1 = 2 + i$ , and  $\lambda_2 = 2 - i$ 

From the Matlab computations, the solution of Y' = FY, Y(0) = R is

$$Y(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} w_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} w_2$$

where  $c_1 = 2.1213, c_2 = 2.1213$ 

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ 0.7071i \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ -0.7071i \end{pmatrix},$$

and 
$$\lambda_1 = 2 + i$$
, and  $\lambda_2 = 2 - i$ 

Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are different, the eigenvalues of F are distinct and F is diagonalizable.

From the Matlab computations, the solution of Y' = FY, Y(0) = R is

$$Y(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} w_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} w_2$$

where  $c_1 = 2.1213, c_2 = 2.1213$ 

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ 0.7071i \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7071 \\ -0.7071i \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\lambda_1 = 2 + i$ , and  $\lambda_2 = 2 - i$ 

Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are different, the eigenvalues of F are distinct and F is diagonalizable. In particular, this means we get the solution exactly as before:

$$Y(t) = c_1 e^{(2+i)t} w_1 + c_2 e^{(2-i)t} w_2 = e^{(2+i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5i \end{pmatrix} + e^{(2-i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ -1.5i \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other hand, our IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2' = -y_1 + 2y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 3 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ is real}$$

and our answer is complex(!): 
$$Y(t) = e^{(2+i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5\\1.5i \end{pmatrix} + e^{(2-i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5\\-1.5i \end{pmatrix},$$

On the other hand, our IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2' = -y_1 + 2y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 3 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ is real}$$

and our answer is complex(!): 
$$Y(t) = e^{(2+i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5i \end{pmatrix} + e^{(2-i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ -1.5i \end{pmatrix},$$

but we can check that it is a solution of the IVP, which has a unique solution!

On the other hand, our IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2' = -y_1 + 2y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 3 \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ is real}$$

and our answer is complex(!): 
$$Y(t) = e^{(2+i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5i \end{pmatrix} + e^{(2-i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ -1.5i \end{pmatrix},$$

but we can check that it is a solution of the IVP, which has a *unique* solution!

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

From calculus, we know  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$  for all real numbers x, and that the series converges absolutely.

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

From calculus, we know  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$  for all real numbers x, and that the series converges absolutely.

This means that the exponential function can be extended to the complex plane by  $e^z = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$ 

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

From calculus, we know  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$  for all real numbers x, and that the series converges absolutely.

This means that the exponential function can be extended to the complex plane by  $e^z = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$ 

We recall that the exponential function satisfies the equation  $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$  for a and b real numbers,

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

From calculus, we know  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$  for all real numbers x, and that the series converges absolutely.

This means that the exponential function can be extended to the complex plane by  $e^z = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$ 

We recall that the exponential function satisfies the equation  $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$ for a and b real numbers,

and it is easy to prove that it also holds for a and b complex numbers.

To understand this situation, we must recall facts about the complex exponential function, and in particular, Euler's formula and its consquences!

From calculus, we know  $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$  for all real numbers x, and that the series converges absolutely.

This means that the exponential function can be extended to the complex plane by  $e^z = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$ 

We recall that the exponential function satisfies the equation  $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$ for a and b real numbers,

and it is easy to prove that it also holds for a and b complex numbers.

(BUT we will see it does NOT hold for  $n \times n$  matrices for  $n \geq 2!!$ )

If a and b are real numbers  $e^{a+bi} = e^a e^{ib}$ , and we understand  $e^a$ .

If a and b are real numbers  $e^{a+bi} = e^a e^{ib}$ , and we understand  $e^a$ .

**Euler's formula** is: For b real (using radians),  $e^{ib} = \cos(b) + i\sin(b)$ 

If a and b are real numbers  $e^{a+bi} = e^a e^{ib}$ , and we understand  $e^a$ .

**Euler's formula** is: For b real (using radians),  $e^{ib} = \cos(b) + i\sin(b)$ 

Applying this to the complex exponential function in the Example, we see

$$e^{(2+i)t} = e^{2t}e^{it} = e^{2t}(\cos(t) + i\sin(t))$$
 and  $e^{(2-i)t} = e^{2t}(\cos(t) - i\sin(t))$  and

If a and b are real numbers  $e^{a+bi} = e^a e^{ib}$ , and we understand  $e^a$ .

**Euler's formula** is: For b real (using radians),  $e^{ib} = \cos(b) + i\sin(b)$ 

Applying this to the complex exponential function in the Example, we see

$$e^{(2+i)t} = e^{2t}e^{it} = e^{2t}(\cos(t) + i\sin(t))$$
 and  $e^{(2-i)t} = e^{2t}(\cos(t) - i\sin(t))$  and

$$Y(t) = e^{(2+i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ 1.5i \end{pmatrix} + e^{(2-i)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1.5 \\ -1.5i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 1.5e^{2t} \left[ \left( \frac{(\cos(t) + i\sin(t))}{(\cos(t) + i\sin(t))i} \right) + \left( \frac{(\cos(t) - i\sin(t))}{-(\cos(t) - i\sin(t))i} \right) \right]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{2t}\cos(t) \\ -3e^{2t}\sin(t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ or, } y_1(t) = 3e^{2t}\cos(t) \text{ and } y_2(t) = -3e^{2t}\sin(t)$$

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order 2* instead of a system of linear equations.

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order* 2 instead of a system of linear equations.

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order 2* instead of a system of linear equations.

Strategy: Replace one order 2 equation by system of two order 1 equations:

Let  $y_1(t) = y(t)$ , so that  $y'_1 = y'$ .

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order* 2 instead of a system of linear equations.

Let 
$$y_1(t) = y(t)$$
, so that  $y'_1 = y'$ . Also, let  $y_2(t) = y'_1(t)$ , so  $y'_2 = y''$ .

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1

The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of  $order\ 2$  instead of a system of linear equations.

Let 
$$y_1(t) = y(t)$$
, so that  $y'_1 = y'$ . Also, let  $y_2(t) = y'_1(t)$ , so  $y'_2 = y''$ .  
Since  $y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0$ , we see  $y'_2 = y'' = -5y' - 6y = -6y_1 - 5y_2$ .

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order* 2 instead of a system of linear equations.

Let 
$$y_1(t) = y(t)$$
, so that  $y'_1 = y'$ . Also, let  $y_2(t) = y'_1(t)$ , so  $y'_2 = y''$ .  
Since  $y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0$ , we see  $y'_2 = y'' = -5y' - 6y = -6y_1 - 5y_2$ .

The resulting IVP is: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = & y_2 \\ y_2' = -6y_1 - 5y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

Solve initial value problem: y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0 with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = -1The most obvious difference between this and earlier examples is that this is 1 linear equation of *order* 2 instead of a system of linear equations.

Strategy: Replace one order 2 equation by system of two order 1 equations:

Let 
$$y_1(t) = y(t)$$
, so that  $y'_1 = y'$ . Also, let  $y_2(t) = y'_1(t)$ , so  $y'_2 = y''$ .  
Since  $y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0$ , we see  $y'_2 = y'' = -5y' - 6y = -6y_1 - 5y_2$ .

The resulting IVP is: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = & y_2 \\ y_2' = -6y_1 - 5y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

We solve this system in the usual way, and interpret it as above!

Solve the IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = & y_2 \\ y_2' = -6y_1 - 5y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

We can rewrite this as Y' = GY and Y(0) = S by choosing

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -6 & -5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution of Y' = GY, Y(0) = S is  $Y(t) = d_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} x_1 + d_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} x_2$ 

where  $d_1 = 4.4721$ ,  $d_2 = 3.1623$ ,  $\lambda_1 = -2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -3$ ,

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4472 \\ -0.8944 \end{pmatrix}$$
, and  $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3162 \\ 0.9487 \end{pmatrix}$ 

In other words, the function

$$Y(t) = d_1 e^{-2t} x_1 + d_2 e^{-3t} x_2 = e^{-2t} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} + e^{-3t} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the solution of the IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = y_2 \\ y_2' = -6y_1 - 5y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

In other words, the function

$$Y(t) = d_1 e^{-2t} x_1 + d_2 e^{-3t} x_2 = e^{-2t} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} + e^{-3t} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the solution of the IVP: 
$$\begin{cases} y_1' = y_2 \\ y_2' = -6y_1 - 5y_2 \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2(0) = -1 \end{cases}$$

In particular, relating this to the original IVP, this means

$$y(t) = y_1(t) = 2e^{-2t} - e^{-3t}$$

is the solution of the initial value problem:

$$y'' + 5y' + 6y = 0$$
 with  $y(0) = 1$  and  $y'(0) = -1$ .

This is the end of the Fifth Segment.

In the next segment, we tackle initial value problems for which the coefficient matrix is non-diagonalizable.