

## Introduction to Measure Theory

**GOAL:** Assign a numerical ‘size’ to every set: a function that does something like this will be called a *measure*,  $\mu$ . We want a measure to satisfy:

- The measure of  $S$ ,  $\mu(S)$ , satisfies  $0 \leq \mu(S) \leq \infty$  for each set  $S$ . (If  $\mu$  is a measure defined for subsets of a set  $X$ , and  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , we call  $\mu$  a *finite measure*.)
- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- If  $S \subset T$ , then  $\mu(S) \leq \mu(T)$ .
- If  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots$  are disjoint sets, then (*countable additivity*)

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(S_j)$$

**The BAD NEWS:** For most interesting situations, this goal cannot be achieved!

So we sacrifice the ‘every set’ in the statement of the goal: in most interesting situations, we can achieve the goal for a large collection of sets. If  $\mu$  is a measure on the set  $X$ , a subset  $S$  is called *measurable* (or  $\mu$ -*measurable*) if  $\mu(S)$  is defined. At the very least, we have  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  are measurable and we want  $S$  and  $T$  measurable to imply  $S \cup T$  and  $S \cap T$  are measurable and, if  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , then  $X \setminus S$  is also measurable.

**New GOAL:** Define *Lebesgue measure*,  $\mu$ , on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The collection of Lebesgue measurable sets includes the open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and countable unions of these sets.

**Outline:**

- **Definition** If  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers with  $a < b$ , then  $\mu((a, b)) = b - a$  and if  $a = -\infty$  or  $b = \infty$  or both, then  $\mu((a, b)) = \infty$ .
- Every non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of disjoint open intervals.
- **Definition** If  $U$  is an open set and  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$  where the  $I_j$  are disjoint open intervals, then  $\mu(U) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_j)$ .
- If  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets with  $U \subset V$  and  $U \neq V$ , then either  $\mu(U) = \mu(V) = \infty$  or  $\mu(U) < \mu(V)$ .
- Suppose  $I_j$  with  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is a sequence of open intervals with  $I_j \subset I_{j+1}$  for every  $j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(I_j)$ .
- Suppose  $U_j$  with  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is a sequence of open sets with  $U_j \subset U_{j+1}$  for every  $j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(U_j)$ .
- **Definition** If  $S$  is a subset of the real numbers, then the *outer measure* of  $S$ , denoted  $\bar{\mu}(S)$ , is

$$\bar{\mu}(S) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \supset S \text{ and } U \text{ is open}\}$$

- If  $S$  and  $T$  are subsets of the real numbers and  $S \subset T$ , then  $\bar{\mu}(S) \leq \bar{\mu}(T)$ .
- Suppose  $S_j$  with  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is a sequence of subsets with  $S_j \subset S_{j+1}$  for every  $j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mu}(S_j)$ .
- Suppose  $K$  is a non-empty compact set and  $a = \inf\{x : x \in K\}$  and let  $b = \sup\{x : x \in K\}$ . If  $U = [a, b] \setminus K$ , then

$$\bar{\mu}(K) = b - a - \mu(U)$$

### Outline(cont'd):

- **Definition** If  $K$  is a compact subset of the real numbers, then the *measure of  $K$*  is defined to be  $\mu(K) = \bar{\mu}(K)$ .
- If  $K$  and  $L$  are compact subsets of the real numbers and  $K \subset L$ , then  $\mu(K) \leq \mu(L)$ .
- **Definition** If  $S$  is a subset of the real numbers with  $\bar{\mu}(S) = 0$ , then we say  $S$  is a *set of measure zero* or  $S$  is a *null set* and we write  $\mu(S) = 0$ .
- **Definition** If  $Q(x)$  is a statement about the point  $x$  in  $I$ , then we say  $Q(x)$  is *true almost everywhere on  $I$*  or  $Q(x)$  is *true for almost every  $x$  in  $I$*  if there is set  $X \subset I$  with  $\mu(X) = 0$  and  $Q(x)$  is true for all  $x$  in  $I \setminus X$ .
- If  $S$  is a countable set, then  $S$  is a set of measure zero.
- Almost every real number is irrational.
- If  $f$  is an increasing function on the interval  $I$ , then  $f$  is continuous almost everywhere on  $I$ .
- Let  $C$  be the Cantor middle thirds set. Then  $C$  is a compact, uncountable set of measure zero.
- Let  $F$  be the Cantor ‘middle fourths set’, constructed in the same way as the middle thirds set but removing the segment  $[3/8, 5/8]$  in the first step, then two intervals of length  $1/16$ , etc. Then there is a continuous, strictly increasing function of the interval  $[0, 1]$  onto itself such that  $f(C) = F$  but  $\mu(F) = 1/2$ . That is, homeomorphisms are *not* measure preserving!
- **Definition** If  $S$  is a subset of the real numbers, then the *inner measure of  $S$* , denoted  $\underline{\mu}(S)$ , is

$$\underline{\mu}(S) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset S \text{ and } K \text{ is compact}\}$$

- If  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\underline{\mu}(U) = \mu(U)$ .
- If  $S$  and  $T$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $S \subset T$ , then  $\underline{\mu}(S) \leq \underline{\mu}(T)$ .
- If  $S$  is any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\underline{\mu}(S) \leq \bar{\mu}(S)$ .
- **Definition** If  $S$  is a subset of the real numbers with  $\bar{\mu}(S) < \infty$ , then we say  $S$  is *measurable* or  $S$  is *Lebesgue measurable* if  $\underline{\mu}(S) = \bar{\mu}(S)$  and in this case, we say the *measure (or the Lebesgue measure) of  $S$  is  $\mu(S) = \bar{\mu}(S)$* . If  $\bar{\mu}(S) = \infty$ , we will say  $S$  is *measurable* if each of the sets  $[-n, n] \cap S$  is measurable for  $n$  a positive integer.
- If  $S$  and  $T$  are measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $S \subset T$ , then  $\mu(S) \leq \mu(T)$ .
- For  $a$  and  $b$  real numbers with  $a \leq b$ , the intervals  $[a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ , and  $(a, b)$  are Lebesgue measurable and all have measure  $b - a$ .
- If  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots$  are disjoint, measurable sets, then (*countable additivity*)

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(S_j)$$

- Using the Axiom of Choice, it is possible to show there are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that are not Lebesgue measurable. (See, for example, *Measure Theory* by P. R. Halmos, 1950, pages 69-70.)