

Fredholm modules and boundary actions of hyperbolic groups

BOGDAN NICA

(joint work with Heath Emerson)

The boundary $\partial\Gamma$ of a non-elementary hyperbolic group Γ is a compact space on which Γ acts by homeomorphisms. In this report, we sketch the construction of certain finitely summable Fredholm modules for the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma$. These Fredholm modules enjoy the following features:

- homologically relevant: they represent a distinguished K -homology class, which is typically non-trivial;
- meaningful summability: roughly speaking, they are p -summable for every p greater than the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary;
- very simple form, quite unlike any other Fredholm modules known so far.

It should be noted that we are in a Type III situation - $C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma$ is purely infinite simple [6, 2] - so there are no finitely summable spectral triples.

THE BOUNDARY EXTENSION CLASS. The action of Γ on $\partial\Gamma$ is amenable [1]. Therefore the maximal and the reduced crossed products for the action coincide, and $C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma$ is a nuclear C^* -algebra. For unital nuclear C^* -algebras, we may identify the K^1 -group of homotopy classes of odd Fredholm modules with the Ext -group of extensions by compacts. There is a natural extension of $C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma$ by compacts, given by the boundary compactification $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma \cup \partial\Gamma$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\ell^2\Gamma) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow C(\partial\Gamma) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow 0$$

The corresponding odd homology class, denoted $[\partial_\Gamma]$, is called the boundary extension class.

Assume that Γ is torsion-free. On the one hand, from [4] we know that there is a Poincaré duality isomorphism $K^*(C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma) \cong K_{*+1}(C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma)$, and that the Poincaré dual of the K^1 -class $[\partial_\Gamma]$ is the K_0 -class of the unit [1]. (The proof from [4] - though most likely not Poincaré duality itself - needs a mild symmetry condition on $\partial\Gamma$, but we shall disregard this minor technical point in what follows.) On the other hand, from [5] we know that the order of $[1] \in K_0(C(\partial\Gamma)\rtimes\Gamma)$ is determined by the Euler characteristic of Γ as follows: $[1]$ has finite order $|\chi(\Gamma)|$ if $\chi(\Gamma) \neq 0$, and infinite order otherwise. Combining these two facts, we obtain:

Theorem 1 (from [4] & [5]). *Let Γ be torsion-free. Then $[\partial_\Gamma]$ is non-trivial, unless $\chi(\Gamma) = \pm 1$. Furthermore, $[\partial_\Gamma]$ has infinite order if and only if $\chi(\Gamma) = 0$.*

NAIVE FREDHOLM MODULES FOR CROSSED PRODUCTS. Let us consider the general situation of a discrete group G acting by homeomorphisms on a compact space X . In order to construct a Fredholm module for the reduced crossed product $C(X)\rtimes_r G$, we need a representation of $C(X)\rtimes_r G$ on a Hilbert space, and a projection in that Hilbert space. For the representation, we make the obvious choice: a regular representation. If μ is a fully supported Borel probability measure on X , then $C(X)$ is faithfully represented on $L^2(X, \mu)$ by multiplication, which in

turn defines a faithful representation of $C(X) \rtimes_r G$ on $\ell^2(G, L^2(X, \mu))$. This is the regular representation of $C(X) \rtimes_r G$ defined by μ , and we denote it by λ_μ . Next, the choice of a projection is again the obvious one: we consider the projection of $\ell^2(G, L^2(X, \mu))$ onto $\ell^2 G$.

In order to describe the Fredholmness and the summability of $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$, we define dynamical versions of two standard probabilistic notions, expectation and standard deviation. The G -expectation and the G -deviation of $\phi \in C(X)$ are the maps $E\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma\phi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by the formulas

$$E\phi(g) = \int_X \phi \, d(g_*\mu), \quad \sigma\phi = \sqrt{E|\phi|^2 - |E\phi|^2}.$$

Now the Fredholmness and the summability of $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ can be characterized by decay conditions for the G -deviation, as follows:

Proposition 2. *$(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ is a Fredholm module for $C(X) \rtimes_r G$ if and only if $\sigma\phi \in C_0(G)$ for all $\phi \in C(X)$. Furthermore, $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ is p -summable if and only if $\sigma\phi \in \ell^p G$ for all ϕ in a dense subalgebra of $C(X)$.*

Alternately, and interestingly, the Fredholmness of $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ can be described by a kind of “pure proximality” à la Furstenberg:

Proposition 3. *$(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ is a Fredholm module for $C(X) \rtimes_r G$ if and only if $g_*\mu$ only accumulates to point masses in $\text{Prob}(X)$ as $g \rightarrow \infty$ in G .*

We need two further properties in what follows. The first is an independence result motivated by the fact that, in general, there is no canonical measure on the boundary of a hyperbolic group. The second is a multiplicativity property motivated by the desire to extend Theorem 1 to virtually torsion-free Γ . We say that two measures are *comparable* if one is between constant multiples of the other.

Proposition 4. *Let μ' be a fully supported Borel probability measure on X which is comparable to μ . Then $(\lambda_{\mu'}, P_{\ell^2 G})$ enjoys the same Fredholmness and summability as $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$. If $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ and $(\lambda_{\mu'}, P_{\ell^2 G})$ are Fredholm modules, then they are K^1 -homologous.*

Proposition 5. *Assume that $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})$ is a Fredholm module for $C(X) \rtimes_r G$, and that the measures $\{g_*\mu\}_{g \in G}$ are mutually comparable. Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of finite index. Then $[(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 G})] = [G : H] \cdot [(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 H})]$ in $K^1(C(X) \rtimes_r H)$.*

BACK TO HYPERBOLIC GROUPS. The boundary of a non-elementary hyperbolic group carries certain natural measures induced by “hyperbolic fillings”. Namely, if Γ acts geometrically - that is, isometrically, properly, and cocompactly - on a (hyperbolic) space X , then ∂X is a topological incarnation of $\partial\Gamma$. A visual metric on ∂X is any metric comparable with $\exp(-\epsilon(\cdot, \cdot)_\bullet)$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_\bullet$ stands for the extended Gromov product. It turns out that such metrics exist for small enough $\epsilon > 0$, and any two visual metrics are Hölder equivalent. The visual probability measures on ∂X are the normalized Hausdorff measures induced by visual metrics. Any two visual probability measures are comparable. Most importantly, visual

measures are Ahlfors regular [3]: if d is a visual metric on ∂X , then the corresponding visual probability measure μ has the property that $\mu(R\text{-ball}) \asymp R^{\text{hdim}(\partial X, d)}$. The point is that, roughly speaking, Ahlfors regularity implies that the Γ -deviation of Lipschitz maps on $(\partial X, d)$ is in $\ell^p \Gamma$ for $p > \text{hdim}(\partial X, d)$. By Proposition 2, this means that $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 \Gamma})$ is a p -summable Fredholm module for $p > \text{hdim}(\partial X, d)$. However, since the summability is independent of the choice of visual probability measure (Proposition 4), we are led to considering the “minimal Hausdorff dimension” of ∂X with respect to the visual metrics:

$$\text{visdim } \partial X = \inf\{\text{hdim}(\partial X, d) : d \text{ visual metric}\}.$$

We may now state our main result:

Theorem 6. *Let Γ act geometrically on X . Then, for every visual probability measure μ on ∂X , the following hold:*

- i) $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 \Gamma})$ is a Fredholm module for $C(\partial \Gamma) \rtimes \Gamma$ which is p -summable for every $p > \max\{\text{visdim } \partial X, 2\}$. In the case when $\text{visdim } \partial X > 2$ and it is attained, $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 \Gamma})$ is in fact $(\text{visdim } \partial X)^+$ -summable;
- ii) $(\lambda_\mu, P_{\ell^2 \Gamma})$ represents $[\partial_\Gamma]$.

The last point is based on the fact that extending $\phi \in C(\partial \Gamma)$ by $E\phi$ on Γ yields a function, denoted $\bar{E}\phi$, on $\bar{\Gamma}$ which is continuous. Hence \bar{E} is a Γ -equivariant cp-section for $0 \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Gamma}) \rightarrow C(\partial \Gamma) \rightarrow 0$, and then \bar{E} can be promoted to a cp-section for $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\ell^2 \Gamma) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Gamma}) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow C(\partial \Gamma) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow 0$. One concludes by a Stinespring dilation argument.

From Proposition 5 we deduce a multiplicativity property for the boundary extension class: if $\Lambda \leq \Gamma$ is a subgroup of finite index, then the natural map $K^1(C(\partial \Gamma) \rtimes \Gamma) \rightarrow K^1(C(\partial \Lambda) \rtimes \Lambda)$ sends $[\partial_\Gamma]$ to $[\Gamma : \Lambda] \cdot [\partial_\Lambda]$. For virtually torsion-free groups, which have a well-defined notion of rational Euler characteristic, Theorem 1 and the above multiplicativity property imply the following criterion:

Corollary 7. *Let Γ be virtually torsion-free. If $\chi(\Gamma) \notin 1/\mathbb{Z}$ then $[\partial_\Gamma]$ is non-trivial. If $\chi(\Gamma) = 0$ then $[\partial_\Gamma]$ has infinite order.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adams: *Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and an application to smooth dynamics of simple groups*, Topology 33 (1994), no. 4, 765–783
- [2] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche: *Purely infinite C^* -algebras arising from dynamical systems*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 125 (1997), no. 2, 199–225
- [3] M. Coornaert: *Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan sur le bord d’un espace hyperbolique au sens de Gromov*, Pacific J. Math. 159 (1993), no. 2, 241–270
- [4] H. Emerson: *Noncommutative Poincaré duality for boundary actions of hyperbolic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 564 (2003), 1–33
- [5] H. Emerson & R. Meyer: *Euler characteristics and Gysin sequences for group actions on boundaries*, Math. Ann. 334 (2006), no. 4, 853–904
- [6] M. Laca & J. Spielberg: *Purely infinite C^* -algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 480 (1996), 125–139