The Wronskian as a Method for Introducing Vector Spaces

Daniel A. Ramras Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico State University

http://math.nmsu.edu/~ramras/wronskian.html

January 10, 2013

Goal

Lead students through a process of discovery, in which they apply linear algebra to the space of differentiable functions.

The (abbreviated) worksheet you will see was designed for students in a beginning linear algebra class.

Student Background:

- Differential calculus
- Basic linear algebra in Euclidean space:
 - Systems of equations; Vectors and matrices;
 - Linear dependence and linear independence;
 - Invertible matrices, determinants;
 - Linear transformations $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Responses shown are (mostly) from students' homework.

The Vector Space of Differentiable Functions

Let $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all infinitely differentiable functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Then $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is a vector space, using the usual addition and scalar multiplication for functions.

Problem 1: Differentiation

Differentiation defines a *function*

 $D: C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$ This function D is defined by D(f) = f'.(a) Calculate $D(e^x), D(x^3 + x), D(\cos(x)).$ $p(e^{x}) = e^{x}, \quad p(x^3 + x) = 3\chi^2 + 1, \quad p(\cos x) = -5in \times 10^{-10}$

(b) Show, by calculating both sides, that $D(2e^{x} + 3\cos(x)) = 2D(e^{x}) + 3D(\cos(x)).$ $D(2e^{x} + 3\cos(x)) = 2D(e^{x}) + 3D(\cos(x)).$ $2e^{x} - 3\sin(x) = 2(e^{x}) + 3(-\sin(x))$ $2e^{x} - 3\sin(x) = 2e^{x} - 3\sin(x)$ (c) We've shown that D preserves the linear combination $2e^x + 3\cos(x)$. We'll now show that D is a *linear transformation* of vector spaces, meaning D preserves *all* linear combinations.

What formulas express this idea, and which rules for derivatives do these formulas correspond to?

$$D(F+g) = D(F) + D(g) - Sum Rule$$

$$D(CF) = CD(F) - Constant Rule$$

(d) If we compose two linear transformations, we get another linear transformation.

Example: $D^2 = D \circ D$: $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear transformation that sends each function f to its second derivative.

Calculate $D^2(2e^x + 3\cos(x)), D^2(e^x)$ and $D^2(\cos(x)).$ $D^2(2e^x + 3\cos(x)) = D(2e^x - 3\sin(x)) = 2e^x - 3\cos(x)$ $D^2(e^x) = D(e^x) = e^x$ $D^2(\cos(x)) = D(-5inx) = -\cos(x)$

Compare your answers to see that

 $D^{2}(2e^{x} + 3\cos(x)) = 2D^{2}(e^{x}) + 3D^{2}(\cos x).$

Problem 2: Linear Independence in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$:

In this problem, we'll examine whether or not certain collections of functions are *linearly indepen*dent in the vector space $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Question: Are e^x , $\cos(x)$, and $x^3 + x$ linearly independent?

(a) What would it mean if these functions were linearly *dependent*? Write an *equation* expressing your answer.

(1ex = (2 cos(x) = (3(x3+x)) That would make them linearly dependent because they would be multipales of eachother

If e^{X} , $\cos(x) \neq x^{3} + x$ were linear dependent, we could say that $c_{1}e^{X} = c_{2}\cos(x) + c_{3}(x^{3} + x)$

 $a(e^{x}) + b(cos(x)) + c(x^{3}+x) = 0$ where a, b, and c are not all = 0.

(b) Now, apply the *linear transformation* D (differentiation) to the equation from part (a) to obtain an equation relating the *derivatives* of our functions.

 $aD(e^{x}) + bD(cos(x)) + cD(x^{3} + x) = 0$ where a, b, c not all = 0

Apply D again to the equation you just obtained to get an equation relating the *second* derivatives.

 $D(aD(e^{x}) + bD(cos(x)) + cD(x^{3} + x)) = 0 \Rightarrow$

 $\Rightarrow aD^{2}(e^{x}) + bD^{2}(cos(x)) + cD^{2}(x^{3} + x) = 0$ where a, b, c not all = 0

If e^x , $\cos(x)$, and $x^3 + x$ were linearly dependent, what would the previous equations tell you about the *columns* of the *Wronskian* matrix W(x) below?

$$W(x) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{x} & \cos(x) & x^{3} + x \\ D(e^{x}) & D\cos(x) & D(x^{3} + x) \\ D^{2}(e^{x}) & D^{2}\cos(x) & D^{2}(x^{3} + x) \end{bmatrix}$$

If e^{χ} , $\cos(\chi)$, and $\chi^3 + \chi$ were linearly dependent then would use the $\binom{C_1}{C_2}$ and $\vec{c} \neq \vec{o}$ equations from 2a.) and 2b.) showing that $W(\chi)\vec{c} = O$. where $\vec{c} = \binom{C_1}{C_2}$ and $\vec{c} \neq \vec{o}$

(c) Compute

$$W(x) = \begin{bmatrix} e^x & \cos(x) & x^3 + x \\ D(e^x) & D\cos(x) & D(x^3 + x) \\ D^2(e^x) & D^2\cos(x) & D^2(x^3 + x) \end{bmatrix}$$

and plug in x = 0 to get a matrix W(0).

$$w(x) = \begin{bmatrix} ex & cos(x) & x^3 + x - f \\ ex & -sin(x) & 3x^2 + f \\ ex & -cos(x) & 6x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W(0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\circ} & \cos(0) & 0^{3} + 0 \\ e^{\circ} & -\sin(0) & 3(0)^{2} + 1 \\ e^{\circ} & -\cos(0) & b(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\overline{a_{1,1} a_{2,1} a_{3}^{2}}$$

(d) Explain the following statement: If e^x , $\cos(x)$, and $x^3 + x$ were linearly dependent, then the columns of W(0) would also be linearly dependent (in other words, W(0) would fail to be invertible). $c_1 \begin{pmatrix} e^x \\ e^x \\ e^x \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(x) \\ -\sin(x) \\ -\cos(x) \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} x^3 + x \\ 3x^2 + 1 \\ 6x \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies c_1 \begin{pmatrix} e^o \\ e^o \\ e^o \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(x) \\ -\sin(x) \\ -\sin(x) \\ -\cos(x) \end{pmatrix} + c_3 \begin{pmatrix} o^{3+o} \\ 3\cdot o^2 + 1 \\ 6\cdot o \end{pmatrix} = 0$ $\implies c_1 \overline{a}_1 + \overline{c}_2 \overline{a}_2 + \overline{c}_3 \overline{a}_3 = 0 \implies \overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \overline{a}_3 \text{ dep. } b_{\mathcal{C}}$ (e) Is W(0) invertible? Why or why not? What does this tell you about e^x , $\cos(x)$, and $x^3 + x$?

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right|^{2} = 1 \left(0.0 - (1.-1) \right) - 1 \left(1.0 - 1.1 \right) = 2$$

$$2 \neq 0 \quad \text{so} \quad W(0) \text{ is invertible}$$
and $e^{x} \cos(x)$ and $x^{3} + x$ are linearly independent

Yes,
$$W(0)$$
 is invertible. It is because I was able to
Sind the inverse, which is $\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$. This means
that ex, $\cos(x)$, $\neq x^3 + x$ are linearly independent.

W(0) is invertible through simply row reducing and checking if it has
$$n=3$$
 pivots.
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - R_{15} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2RZ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$.
This tells us that e^{x} , $\cos(x)$, and $x^{3} + x$ are linearly idependent
from each other.

Problem 3: We've seen that if W(0) is invertible, our functions are independent. If W(0) is singular, must our functions be *dependent*?

(a) Compute W(0) for the functions $\sin(x)$, $\sin(2x)$, $\cos(x)$. Is W(0) invertible?

$$W(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(x) & \sin(2x) & \cos(x) \\ \cos(x) & 2\cos(2x) & -\sin(x) \\ -\sin(x) & -4\sin(2x) & -\cos(x) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$W(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sin(x) & -4\sin(2x) & -\cos(x) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$W(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\sin(x) & -1 & 0 \\ -\sin(x) & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$W(x) \text{ not invertible}$$
$$\bigotimes x = 0$$

(b) Is $W(\pi/4)$ invertible? What can you say about independence or dependence of these functions?

$$W(T_{4}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & 1 & \sqrt{2}/2 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 & 0 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ -\sqrt{2}/2 & -4 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|W(T_{4})| = -3 \qquad W(x) \text{ is invertible at } x = T_{4}$$

Wronskin can be used to show linear independence, but not necessarily to prove linear dependence.

Concluding Remarks

For proving linear independence, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(x_1) & g(x_1) & h(x_1) \\ f(x_2) & g(x_2) & h(x_2) \\ f(x_3) & g(x_3) & h(x_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $(x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ distinct) is often just as useful as the Wronskian.

Pros and Cons

- The above matrix does not involve derivatives, and does not require/reinforce the notion of linear transformation.
- Often det $W(0) \neq 0$ can be checked without a calculator.
- The Wronskian has deeper connections to differential equations (Variation of Parameters).

http://math.nmsu.edu/~ramras/wronskian.html