

Lecture 22

The Thom Isomorphism Theorem

If $\overset{f}{\downarrow}$ is an oriented (real) n -plane bundle, then

\exists a unique class $u \in H^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_0; \mathbb{Z})$ which restricts to

the orientation generator in $H^n(\mathcal{F}_x, (\mathcal{F}_x)_0; \mathbb{Z})$ for every $x \in B$.

There is then an isom $H^k(B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^k(E, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup u} H^{n+k}(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z})$

for $k \geq 0$, and $H^*(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $* < n$.

Proof: Well assume B is compact, or at least that

there is a finite open covering of B , $\{U_i\}$, s.t. $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$, ^{or preserving}

We have already observed that the Künneth Theorem implies

that there is a unique class $u \in H^n(B \times \mathbb{R}^n, B \times \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{Z})$

restricting to the orientation gen's, and moreover the Künneth isom.

$$H^k(B; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^n(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes u} H^{n+k}(B \times \mathbb{R}^n, B \times \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha \times u}$$

(which holds b/c $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free)

if just

$$H^k(B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^k(B \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup u} H^{k+n}(B \times \mathbb{R}^n, B \times \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{Z}).$$

This establishes the result when \mathcal{F} is trivial.

Now say $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$ and $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong U_i \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for each i .

By induction, we can assume the result holds for $\mathcal{F}|_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} U_i}$.

2

It also holds for $\mathcal{G}|_{U_k}$ and for $\mathcal{G}((\mathcal{G}|_{U_i})_{|U_k})$

(bc these last two cases are trivial.)

We now consider the relative Mayer-Vietoris sequence (letting $A' = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_i$, $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_k$)

$$H^{n-1}(\mathcal{G}|_{A \cap U_k}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{G}|_{A'}, (\mathcal{G}|_A)_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{G}|_{A'}, (\mathcal{G}|_A)_0; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^n(\mathcal{G}|_{U_k}, (\mathcal{G}|_{U_k})_0; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\longrightarrow H^n(\mathcal{G}|_{A \cap U_k}) (\mathcal{G}|_{A \cap U_k})_0; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$$

The map to the intersection term is the difference of the two restriction maps, i.e. letting $u_{A'}$, u_k denote the Thom classes over $\mathcal{G}|_{A'}$, $\mathcal{G}|_{U_k}$, we have

$$u_{A'} \oplus u_k \mapsto u_{A'}|_{A' \cap U_k} - u_k|_{A' \cap U_k}$$

But since $u_{A'}$, u_k restrict to the orientation gen's

on each fiber, the claim is true of $u_{A'}|_{A' \cap U_k}$, $u_k|_{A' \cap U_k}$.

Uniqueness of $u_{A' \cap U_k}$ then implies $u_{A'}|_{A' \cap U_k} = u_k|_{A' \cap U_k} = u_{A' \cap U_k}$.

By exactness, $\exists u_A \in H^n(\mathcal{G}|_A, (\mathcal{G}|_A)_0; \mathbb{Z})$ mapping to $u_{A'} \oplus u_k$,

it is unique bc $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{G}|_{A \cap U_k}, (\mathcal{G}|_{A \cap U_k})_0) = 0$ (bc this case is trivial,

so then holds). Moreover, since $A' \cup U_k = A$, u_A restricts to the orientation gen at each point of A .

It also follows from the LES that $H^k(\mathbb{S}_A, (\mathbb{S}_A)_0) = 0$ for $k < n$.

To examine the map v_H , we use a diagram of MU seg's:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{k+1}(A \setminus U_k) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^k(A) \rightarrow H^k(A') \oplus H^k(U_k) \rightarrow H^k(A' \setminus U_k) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{k+1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v_H & v_{U_k} \oplus v_{U_k} & v_{A \setminus U_k} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ H^{n+k}(\mathbb{S}_A, (\mathbb{S}_A)_0) & H^{n+k}(\mathbb{S}_{A'} \setminus (\mathbb{S}_{A'})_0) \oplus H^k(\mathbb{S}_{U_k} \setminus (\mathbb{S}_{U_k})_0) & H^{n+k}(\mathbb{S}_{A \setminus U_k} \setminus (\mathbb{S}_{A \setminus U_k})_0) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\delta}$$

The result now follows from the 5-commute, although one does need to check that the square commutes? Commutes. This is similar to the issue we encountered in the proof of the Proj Bdle Thm, and uses the formulas:

$$\delta(y \cup i^*x) = \partial y \vee x ; \quad i^*: H^* A' \setminus U_k \rightarrow H^* A \setminus U_k$$

(See Lecture 11, where we proved this formula, and used it to

check that a similar diagram commutes). □

Note: This thm goes through w/ \mathbb{R}^n coeff; for any bdl, replacing "or. gen" with "non-gen". There are several other ways to think about the groups

$H^*(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}_0)$: letting $D\mathbb{S} = \{v \in \mathbb{S} \mid |v| \leq 1\}$, $S\mathbb{S} = \{v \in \mathbb{S} \mid |v| = 1\}$
refers to a chosen metric
 \nwarrow "dist bdl" \nwarrow "sphere bdl"

and $T\mathbb{S} = D\mathbb{S}/S\mathbb{S}$ (the "Torus Space" of \mathbb{S}) we have

$$H^*(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S}_0) \stackrel{\text{excision + def. retraction}}{\cong} H^*(D\mathbb{S}, S\mathbb{S}) \stackrel{\text{def. retracts to } S\mathbb{S}}{\cong} H^*(T\mathbb{S})$$

4

Corollary (The Gysin Sequence)

If $\overset{\varphi}{\downarrow}$ is an oriented n -plane bundle over B , then there is a LES

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i B \xrightarrow{ve} H^{ith} B \rightarrow H^{ith} \overset{\varphi}{S}_0 \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{i+1} B \xrightarrow{ve} \cdots$$

(with \mathbb{Z} -coeff).

P.F.: This is essentially the LES of the pair $(\overset{\varphi}{S}, \overset{\varphi}{S}_0)$:

$$\rightarrow H^{ith}(\overset{\varphi}{S}, \overset{\varphi}{S}_0) \rightarrow H^{ith}(\overset{\varphi}{S}) \rightarrow H^{i+n}(\overset{\varphi}{S}_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{i+n+1} \overset{\varphi}{S} \rightarrow$$

except that we've replaced $H^{ith}(\overset{\varphi}{S}, \overset{\varphi}{S}_0) \rightarrow H^{ith} \overset{\varphi}{S}$ with

$$H^i B \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^i \overset{\varphi}{S} \xrightarrow{ve} H^{ith}(\overset{\varphi}{S}, \overset{\varphi}{S}_0) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{ith}(\overset{\varphi}{S}) \xrightarrow{(\pi^*)^{-1}} H^{i+n} B$$

\curvearrowright

$ve \circ \pi^*$

This composite is really just $ve = v(\pi^*)^{-1}(u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}})$ b/c:

$$H^i \overset{\varphi}{S} \xleftarrow{\pi^*} H^i B$$

$$v(u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}}) \downarrow v(\pi^*)^{-1}(u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}}) \text{ commutes: } \pi^*(v \circ v(\pi^*)^{-1} u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}}) \\ H^i \overset{\varphi}{S} \xleftarrow{\pi^*} H^i B = \pi^* \circ v(u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } (\pi^*)^{-1}(\pi^* \circ v(u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}})) &= (\pi^*)^{-1}(\pi^* (\alpha \circ v(\pi^* u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}}))) \\ &= \alpha \circ (\pi^*)^{-1} u|_{\overset{\varphi}{S}} = \alpha \circ ve. \square \end{aligned}$$

In this sequence, one often replaces $\overset{\varphi}{S}_0$ by

the sphere bundle $S\overset{\varphi}{S}$, which $\overset{\varphi}{S}$ deformation retracts to.

(Again, we're assuming $\overset{\varphi}{S}$ has a metric). This

LES is really the Serre Spectral Sequence for the fibration $\overset{\varphi}{S} \xrightarrow{\pi} B$.

To relate the Euler class to the Euler characteristic, we need to bring in some geometry.

Theorem (MS 11.3)

Let $M \subset A$ be an embedded, closed submanifold of the Riemannian mfld A (that is, TA has a metric and $i: M \rightarrow A$ is a smooth homeomorphism onto its image).

Then the map $H^k(A, A - M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(A) \rightarrow H^k(M)$

sends a certain "Fundamental class" $[i] \in H^k(A, A - M; \mathbb{Z})$ to the

Euler class of the normal bdl. $\gamma^k M = \{v \in TA \mid v \perp TM\}$
 $(k = \dim \gamma^k)$

We'll need to describe this class $[i]$, which arises from the Thom class of the normal bdl of $M \subset A$. First, we need:

Tubular Neighborhood Thm: If $M \subset A$ is a closed, embedded submfld of the Riemannian mfld A , then \exists a nbhd $U \supset M$ which is

diffeomorphic to the normal bdl $\gamma^k(M) = \{v \in TA \mid v \perp TM\}$, and this diffeomorphism sends M to the zero section of $\gamma^k(M)$.

Ex: $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:



U is a "spherical shell" around S^{n-1} .

6

We now have an excision theorem

$$H^*(A, A-M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(U, U-M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\nu^k M, (\nu^k)_0; \mathbb{Z})$$

coming from excising the complement of U . Note

that the diffeomorphism $U \cong \nu^k M$ sends $M \rightarrow (\nu^k M)_0$.

We now define the fundamental class

$$u' \in H^*(A, A-M; \mathbb{Z})$$

to be the image of the Thom class of $\nu^k M$; here

we must assume $\nu^k M$ is orientable.

We can now prove Thm 11.3:

Pf of 11.3:

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^k(\nu^k, \nu^k_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^k(\nu^k) & \xrightarrow{s^*} & H^k M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow s = \text{zero section} & & \downarrow \text{lift'n of} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U|_{\nu^k} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Bord class.} \\ & & \text{Thom class} & & \end{array}$$

$$U \xrightarrow{\quad} U|_{\nu^k} \xrightarrow{\quad} s^* U|_{\nu^k} = (\pi^*)^{-1} U|_{\nu^k} = e_{\nu^k}$$

(Note here that $\pi s = \text{id}_M$ so $s^* = (\pi^*)^{-1}$.)

So now the theorem follows immediately from the comm. diagram

$$H^k(A, A-M) \rightarrow H^k(A) \rightarrow H^k(M)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^k(U, U-M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^k(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^k(\nu^k, \nu^k_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^k(\nu^k) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k M$$

$$H^k(\nu^k, \nu^k_0) \xrightarrow{\quad} H^k(\nu^k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(M)$$

II

Application to Embeddings in \mathbb{R}^N :

If $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is an embedding with orientable normal
(closed)

Bdle v^k ($k=N-n$) then we have shown that $e(v^k)$ is in the
image of

$$(\star) \quad H^k(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N - M) \rightarrow H^k(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow H^k M.$$

But $H^k \mathbb{R}^N = 0$, so then $e(v^k M)$ must be zero as well.

Using Steenrod squaring op's, Milnor shows
that the top Stiefel-Whitney class $w_k(v^k M)$ is
in the image of this map (\star) (w/ Zeros off).

So then $w_k(v^k) = 0$. But we have $T M \oplus v^k M \cong T \mathbb{R}^N_m \cong \mathbb{S}^N$,

$$\text{so } w(TM) \cup w(v^k M) = w(\mathbb{S}^N) = 1, \text{ i.e.}$$

\nearrow \searrow
 total Stiefel-Whitney
 class

$$w(v^k M) = w(TM)^{-1} \text{ (inversing } H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2))$$

So one can solve for $w_k(v^k M)$ in terms of $w_i TM$, and

we write $w_k(v^k M) = \bar{w}_k TM$ ("dual Stiefel-Whitney classes").

\hookrightarrow if M^n embeds in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} , $\bar{w}_k TM = 0$ (this class depends only
on M , not on the normal bdle). +antecedent bdle

Ex: $M = RP^n$, $n=2^r$. Then $\bar{w}_{n-1} T RP^n = (w_1)^{2^{r-1}} \neq 0$. RP^n doesn't embed
in $\mathbb{R}^{2^{n-1}}$. (We showed previously that in this case, RP^n doesn't
immense in $\mathbb{R}^{2^{n-2}}$.) See Lecture 13 for the computation of \bar{w}_{n-1} .
Note that RP^2 does immense in \mathbb{R}^3 , but (as we've just shown) doesn't embed.

8

So we've now related the Euler class to the normal bdlc of an embedding; the next idea is:

Lemma 11.5: The normal bdlc $\nu^n(M \xrightarrow{\Delta} M \times M)$ is

canonically diffeomorphic to the tangent bdlc of M .

Proof: The map $D_\Delta: TM \rightarrow T(M \times M) \cong TM \times TM$

is just $v \mapsto (v, v)$ (bc the projections $M \xrightarrow{\Delta} M \times M$ are both id _{M})

so we just need to show that $\nu^n \cong \{(v, v) \in T(M \times M)\}$

(note that $D_\Delta: TM \rightarrow T(M \times M)$ is a bdlc map, hence induces
an isom onto its image).

A vector $(u, v) \in TM \times M$ is normal to ΔM

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle (u, v), (w, w) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in TM$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle = \langle u+v, w \rangle \quad \forall w \in TM$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u+v=0.$$

So $\nu^n M$ is diffeomorphic to TM via

$$(u, -v) \mapsto (u, v).$$

□

So now 11.3 says that

$$H^k(M \times M, M \times M - \Delta M) \rightarrow H^n(M \times M) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} H^k(M)$$

sends the "fundamental class" $[u]$ to the Euler class of TM (assuming TM is orientable). The class $[u] = [u]/_{M \times M}$ will be closely related to Poincaré Duality...