

Lecture 19 Bott Periodicity III: Linear Clutching Pairs

We have now shown that every bble $[E, f]$ over $X \times S^2$

has the form $[E, l]$ for some Laurent poly. clutching

$$\text{fcn } l(x, z) = \sum_{-N}^N a_n(x) z^n.$$

$$\text{We now have } [E, \sum_{-N}^N a_n(x) z^n] = [E, z^{-N} \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n(x) z^{n+N}]$$

$$= [E, \sum_{n=0}^{2N} a'_n(x) z^n] \otimes [\varepsilon', z^{-N}] = [E, q] \otimes \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$$

$$a'_n = a_{n-N}$$

We write $[\varepsilon', z^{-N}] = \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$
 b/c $[\varepsilon', z^{-N}] \otimes [c', z^N] = [c', 1]$

where $q = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} a'_k(x) z^k$ is a polynomial clutching fcn.

Prop. 2.6: If $q = \sum_{k=0}^N a'_k(x) z^k$ is a poly. clutching fcn,

then $[E, q] \oplus [N+1E, 1] \cong [N+1E, L^N q]$ for some

linear clutching fcn $L^N q = a'_0 + a'_1 z$.

PF: $[E, q] \oplus [N+1E, 1] \cong [N+1E, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{bmatrix}]$, and the

matrices $\begin{bmatrix} 1-z & 0 \\ 0 & 1-z \\ a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & q \end{bmatrix}$ are equiv under row and

linear in z as an endomorphism
 $(N+1)E \times S^1 \rightarrow (N+1)E \times S^1$

column ops: Here I denote the matrix of clutching fcn

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1-z & & \\ & 1-z & \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_2 \rightarrow C_2 + zC_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \\ & 1-z & 0 \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_2 \rightarrow C_2 + zC_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_2 \rightarrow C_2 + zC_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & z \\ & & a_N - a_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

if C is an elementary matrix, then $CE \in GL_{N+1}(\mathbb{C})$, so

Each op'n is a hty: for example, the first can be viewed as

$C_2 \mapsto C_2 + t z C_1$, w/ $t=1$. So it remains to check that the first matrix is inv'ble. But in each fiber, choosing a basis we can view these row/cl. ops as "block" ops, i.e. k ops if fiber dim'n is k , and each multiplies det by a non-zero scalar. So since q is inv'ble, so is 1^{st} matrix. \square

Next time, we'll prove

Prop. 2.7 (Hatcher)

For any $\begin{matrix} E \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$ and any clutching function $az+b$, with $a, b: E \rightarrow E$ bundle endomorphisms,

there exists a direct sum decomposition $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} [E, az+b] &\cong [E_+, \mathbb{1}] \oplus [E_-, z] \\ &= \pi_1^*(E_+) \oplus [E_-, \mathbb{1}] \otimes [\Sigma^1, z] \\ &= \pi_1^* E_+ \oplus [(\pi_1^* E_-) \otimes \pi_2^* H]. \end{aligned}$$

Let's examine the consequences of this result in K-theory:

We've shown that for any bundle $\begin{matrix} E' \\ \downarrow \\ X \times S^2 \end{matrix}$, we

(1) have $E' \cong [E, l] \cong [E, q] \otimes [\Sigma^1, z^N] = [E, q] \otimes \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$

\swarrow LPCF \swarrow Polynomial c.f.

Here $N = \text{degree of the Laurent Poly. } l$, and q has degree $2N$ (b/c $q = z^N l$).

(2) Since $[E, q] \oplus [2N E, \mathbb{1}] \cong [2N+1 E, az+b]$

$\cong \pi_1^*(2N E) \oplus \pi_1^*(E) \otimes \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$

$\cong \pi_1^*(2N+1 E) \otimes \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$

$\cong \pi_1^*(2N+1 E) \oplus \pi_1^*(E) \otimes \pi_2^*(H^{-N})$

endomorphisms $E \rightarrow E$

Let $(2N+1)E = \tilde{E}_+ \oplus \tilde{E}_-$ be the direct sum decomposition guaranteed by Prop 2.7,

so that

$$(3) \quad [(2N+1)E, a\alpha + b\beta] \cong [\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_+) \oplus (\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_-) \otimes \pi_2^*H)].$$

Then in $K^0(X \times S^2)$, we have (combining (2) and (3))

$$(4) \quad [E, \rho] = [\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_+)] + [\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_-)] \cdot [\pi_2^*H] - 2N[\pi_1^*E].$$

Finally, (1) and (4) yield

$$[E'] = \left([\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_+)] + [\pi_1^*(\tilde{E}_-)] \cdot [\pi_2^*H] - 2N[\pi_1^*E] \right) \cdot \pi_2^*H^{-N}.$$

The expression on the right lies in the image of

$$K^0(X) \otimes K^0(S^2) \xrightarrow{\mu} K^0(X \times S^2), \quad \text{so we conclude}$$

$$\alpha \otimes \beta \longmapsto \pi_1^*\alpha \otimes \pi_2^*\beta$$

that μ is surjective. In fact, the map

$$K^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[H] / (H-1)^2 \rightarrow K^0(X) \otimes K^0(S^2) \xrightarrow{\mu} K^0(X \times S^2)$$

$$H \longmapsto [H] = [\gamma_1]$$

is surjective as well, because H is invertible

$$\text{in the ring } \mathbb{Z}[H] / (H-1)^2 : \begin{aligned} (2-H)H &= 2H - H^2 \\ &= 2H - (2H-1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } 2-H = H^{-1}.$$

Letting $X = pt$, we have:

Theorem: $K^0(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[H]/(H-1)^2$, via the ring map sending $H \mapsto [H] = [\gamma^1]$.

Pf: We already showed that this map exists and the previous discussion shows that it is

surjective. To show injectivity, note that any element in $\mathbb{Z}[H]/(H-1)^2$ has the

form $nH + m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

$nH + m \mapsto 0$ in $K^0(S^2)$, then $n[H] + m[\varepsilon^0] = 0$,

which implies that $m = -n$ (since this class must restrict to zero in $K^0(\{x\})$ for any $x \in S^2$,

meaning nH and $-m[\varepsilon^0]$ must have the same dimension).

Now, if $n[H] - [\varepsilon^m] = 0$ in $K^0(S^2)$, then by

definition of K^0 we have $nH \oplus F \cong \varepsilon^m \oplus F$

for some bundle F . But in fact, we can always

take $F = \varepsilon^k$ for some k , b/c $\exists F^\perp$ with $F \oplus F^\perp \cong \varepsilon^k$

(as we showed earlier). So $nH \oplus \varepsilon^k \cong \varepsilon^{m+k}$,

and the Whitney Sum Formula implies

that

$$n_{C,H} = c_1(nH) = c_1(nH \oplus \varepsilon^k) = c_1(\varepsilon^{m+k}) = 0.$$

But $c_1 H \in H^2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is non-zero, so $n(C,H) \neq 0$ as well. This contradiction implies that our map is injective. \square