## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of these notes is to give a proof of the following important theorem regarding determinants.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then det(A) may be computed by cofactor expansion along any row or column. In other words, for any numbers  $i_0$  and  $j_0$  between 1 and n, we have

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i_0 j} A_{i_0 j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j_0} A_{i j_0},$$

where  $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{ij})$  is the (i, j)th cofactor of A.

We'll prove this theorem by giving a more explicit, non-inductive description of the determinant, and then we'll show that each sum above is equal to this explicit formula. In order to describe this formula, we need to discuss *permutations*.

## 2. Permutations

A permutation is just a way of rearranging the numbers  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ . More formally, we can think of a permutation as a function that assigns to each number  $1, \ldots, n$  a new number, in a 1-1 fashion.

**Definition 2.1.** A permutation of the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is a 1-1 function

 $\sigma: \{1,\ldots,n\} \longrightarrow \{1,\ldots,n\}.$ 

We denote the set of all permutations of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  by  $\Sigma_n$ .

Here 1-1 means that no two numbers are sent to the same place under the function  $\sigma$ , i.e. if  $i \neq j$  then  $\sigma(i) \neq \sigma(j)$ . Since the domain and range of  $\sigma$  each contain n elements, the fact that  $\sigma$  is 1-1 implies that it must also be *onto*, that is, every number j can be written as  $\sigma(i)$  for some i. We call a function that is both 1-1 and onto a *bijection*. We will need to break up permutations into two types, the *even* permutations and the *odd* permutations. In order to do this, we need to consider *inversions*.

**Definition 2.2.** If  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  is a permutation, then an inversion in  $\sigma$  is a pair (i, j) with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  such that  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ .

So inversions are numbers whose order is reversed by the permutation  $\sigma$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma_5$  be the permutation defined by

 $\sigma(1) = 3, \ \sigma(2) = 1, \ \sigma(3) = 4, \ \sigma(4) = 2, \ \sigma(5) = 5.$ 

Then the inversions in  $\sigma$  are the pairs (1,2), (1,4), (3,4).

**Definition 2.4.** A permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  is called even if  $\sigma$  contains an even number of inversions, and  $\sigma$  is called odd if it contains an odd number of inversions.

For example, the permutation in Example 2.3 is odd because it contains 3 inversions.

In our description of the determinant, the various signs appearing will depend on whether certain permutations are odd or even. For this reason, we make the following definition.

**Definition 2.5.** The sign of a permutation  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$sgn(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma \quad \text{is even} \\ -1, & \text{if } \sigma \quad \text{is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence the permutation in Example 2.3 has sign -1.

3. Explicit definition of the determinant

We can now give a new description of the determinant, which we will prove agrees with the old (inductive) definition.

This definition is motivated by noticing that when one expands out the determinant of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix A, the terms one gets are products of 3 terms of A, and each such product consists of a single entry from each row and column of A. For example, one of the terms is  $a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}$ .

Products of this form can be described using permutations. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Given any permutation  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ , we can form the product

$$a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)}\ldots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

In this product, the kth entry comes from row k and column  $\sigma(k)$ . Clearly such a product contains exactly one entry from each row, and since  $\sigma$  is a bijection, it also contains exactly one entry from each column.

**Definition 3.1.** For any  $n \times n$  matrix A, we define D(A) to be the sum

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} sgn(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \dots a_{n\sigma(n)}.$$

To compare this number D(A) to our inductive definition of the determinant, we will need to consider the numbers  $D(M_{ij})$  where  $M_{ij}$  is an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  minor of A. Since the rows and columns of the minor matrices of an  $n \times n$  matrix A are most naturally numbered using subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  rather than the set  $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  itself, we generalize the function D a bit.

Let A be a matrix whose rows and columns are numbered using any increasing lists of numbers. We will write R(A) for the set of numbers corresponding to the rows of A, and C(A) for the set of numbers corresponding to the columns of A. For example, if A is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix whose rows and columns are numbered in the usual fashion, then the minor matrix  $M_{23}$  contains rows 1,3 and 4 of A and columns 1, 2 and 4 of A, so we would set  $R(M_{23}) = \{1, 3, 4\}$  and  $C(M_{23}) = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Note that this numbering of rows and columns is additional information that we tack on to our matrix, and we'll call a matrix together with such a numbering a *labeled* matrix. If A is any labeled matrix, then we will write its entries in the form  $a_{ij}$ , where  $i \in R(A)$  and  $j \in C(A)$ .

If A is a square, labeled matrix, then R(A) and C(A) have the same size, and we can define  $\Sigma_{R(A),C(A)}$  to be the set of all bijections  $\sigma : R(A) \to C(A)$ . For example, if  $R(A) = \{1,3,4\}$  and  $C(A) = \{1,2,4\}$ , then the function  $\sigma(1) = 2$ ,  $\sigma(3) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(4) = 4$  is an element of  $\Sigma_{R(A),C(A)}$ .

If  $\sigma$  is an element of  $\Sigma_{R(A),C(A)}$ , then we define an inversion in  $\sigma$  to be a pair of numbers  $i, j \in R(A)$  such that i < j but  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ . We then set  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$  if  $\sigma$  contains an even number of inversions, and we set  $\sigma = -1$  if  $\sigma$  contains an odd number of inversions. Notice that when  $R(A) = C(A) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , this is exactly our original definition of  $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ . **Definition 3.2.** Let A be a square, labeled matrix, and say  $R(A) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_n\}$ . Then we define D(A) to be the sum

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A),C(A)}} sgn(\sigma) a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_n \sigma(r_n)}.$$

Note that this definition agrees with the previous one when  $R(A) = C(A) = \{1, \ldots, n\}.$ 

We also need to think about how cofactor expansion works for labeled matrices.

**Definition 3.3.** Let A be a square, labeled matrix, with  $R(A) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \cdots, r_n\}$  and  $C(A) = \{c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n\}$ . Then we define the determinant of A inductively via cofactor expansion along the first row of A:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{r_1 c_k} (-1)^{1+k} \det(M_{r_1 c_k}),$$

where  $M_{r_lc_k}$  denotes the labeled matrix obtained from A by deleting row  $r_l$  and column  $c_k$ ; this new matrix is labeled by  $R(M_{r_lc_k}) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \cdots r_{l-1} < r_{l+1} < \cdots r_n\}$  and  $C(M_{r_lc_k}) = \{c_1 < c_2 < \cdots c_{k-1} < c_{k+1} < \cdots c_n\}$ .

Similarly, we define the cofactor expansion of A along row  $r_i$  by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{r_i c_k} (-1)^{i+k} \det(M_{r_i c_k}),$$

and along column  $c_i$  by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{r_k c_j} (-1)^{k+j} \det(M_{r_k c_j}).$$

Notice that these definitions are really nothing new at all; they give exactly the same numbers as if we simply relabeled A in the normal way. This is just useful in keeping track of the labeling of the minors.

The main result of these notes is:

**Theorem 3.4.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix (labeled in the usual way). Then for any i and j between 1 and n we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} A_{ik} = D(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{kj} A_{kj}.$$

## 4

In other words, computing the determinant by cofactor expansion along any row or column always results in the same number, namely D(A).

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem by induction on n, and in fact we will prove it for *all* labeled matrices. When n = 1, A is simply a single number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and all of the above numbers are simply a. We now assume that for all *labeled*  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrices A,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{r_i c_k} (-1)^{i+k} \det(M_{r_i c_k}) = D(A)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{r_k c_j} (-1)^{k+j} \det(M_{r_k c_j}),$$

and we consider an  $n \times n$  matrix A labeled by  $R(A) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \cdots , r_n\}$  and  $C(A) = \{c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_n\}.$ 

Let us consider cofactor expansion of A along row  $r_i$ . This is given by the sum

(1) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} a_{r_i c_j} \det M_{r_i c_j}$$

Now, each minor matrix  $M_{r_ic_j}$  is a labeled  $n \times n$  matrix, so we know by our induction hypothesis that

$$\det M_{r_i c_j} =$$

(2) 
$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A)_i, C(A)_j}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i+1})} \cdots a_{r_n \sigma(r_n)}.$$

where the new label sets  $R(A)_i$  and  $C(A)_j$  are obtained by removing  $r_i$  and  $c_j$ .

Combining (1) and (2), we find that cofactor expansion along row  $r_i$  gives the sum

(3)  

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} a_{r_i c_j} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A)_i C(A)_j}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i+1})} \cdots a_{r_n, \sigma(r_n)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A)_i C(A)_j}} (-1)^{i+j} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{r_i c_j} a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i+1})} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} \cdots a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} \cdots a_{r_{i+1} \sigma($$

We need to show that this sum agrees with

(4) 
$$D(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A), C(A)}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_n \sigma(r_n)}$$

First, note that each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{R(A),C(A)}$  sends  $r_i$  to  $c_{j_0}$  for some  $j_0$ , and hence restricts to a function  $\sigma' \in \Sigma_{R(A)_i,C(A)_{j_0}}$ . I claim that the term corresponding to  $\sigma$  in the sum (4) agrees with the term  $(j = j_0, \sigma = \sigma')$  in the sum (3), i.e. that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{r_i c_{j_0}} a_{r_1 \sigma(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma(r_{i+1})} = (-1)^{i+j_0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma') a_{r_i c_{j_0}} a_{r_1 \sigma'(r_1)} \cdots a_{r_{i-1} \sigma'(r_{i-1})} a_{r_{i+1} \sigma'(r_{i+1})}.$$

Note that in the latter expression,  $\sigma'(r_l) = \sigma(r_l)$  for each  $l \neq i$  (by definition of  $\sigma'$ ), so these two expressions contain exactly the same terms  $a_{kl}$  and we just need to check that the signs agree, i.e. that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^{i+j_0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma').$$

We need to count the number of inversions in  $\sigma$ , i.e. the number of pairs  $r_l < r_k$  such that  $\sigma(r_l) > \sigma(r_k)$ . Denote this number by  $inv(\sigma)$ . Note that every inversion in  $\sigma'$  is an inversion in  $\sigma$ , and the remaining inversions in  $\sigma$  are those involving  $r_i$ . Hence we have (5)

$$inv(\sigma) = inv(\sigma') + \#\{r_k \in R(A) | r_k < r_i \text{ and } \sigma(r_k) > \sigma(r_i) = c_{j_0}\} + \#\{r_l \in R(A) | r_i < r_l \text{ and } \sigma(r_i) > \sigma(r_l)\}.$$

We will write

(6) 
$$x = \#\{r_k \in R(A) \mid r_k < r_i \text{ and } \sigma(r_k) > \sigma(r_i) = c_{j_0}\}.$$

We will now compute the number

$$y = \#\{r_l \in R(A) \mid r_i < r_l \text{ and } c_{j_0} = \sigma(r_i) > \sigma(r_l)\}$$

in terms of  $i, j_0$ , and x.

Let  $\sigma^{-1}$  denote the inverse function to  $\sigma$ , that is,  $\sigma^{-1}(c_l)$  is the number  $r_k$  such that  $\sigma(r_k) = c_l$ . Sending  $r_l$  to  $\sigma(r_l)$  provides a bijective function from

$$\{r_l \in R(A) \mid r_i < r_l \text{ and } \sigma(r_i) > \sigma(r_l)\}$$

to the set

$$\{c_l \in C(A) \mid c_{j_0} = \sigma(r_i) > c_l \text{ and } r_i < \sigma^{-1}(c_l)\},\$$

so the latter set has y elements as well.

There are a total of  $j_0 - 1$  elements  $c_l \in C(A)$  with  $c_{j_0} > c_l$ , and we have just seen that y of them satisfy  $r_i < \sigma^{-1}(c_l)$ . Hence  $j_0 - 1 - y$  of them satisfy  $\sigma^{-1}(c_l) < r_i$ , or in other words

$$j_0 - 1 - y = \# \{ c_l \in C(A) \mid c_l < c_{j_0} \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(c_l) < r_i \}.$$

There are a total of i-1 elements  $c_l \in C(A)$  with  $\sigma^{-1}(c_l) < r_i$ , and we have just seen that  $j_0 - 1 - y$  of them satisfy  $c_l < c_{j_0}$ . Hence the other  $i - 1 - (j_0 - 1 - y) = i - j_0 + y$  of them satisfy  $c_l > c_{j_0}$ , or in other words

 $j_0 - i + y = \# \{ c_l \in C(A) \mid c_l > c_{j_0} = \sigma(r_i) \text{ and } \sigma^{-1}(c_l) < r_i \}.$ 

Finally, sending  $r_l$  to  $\sigma(r_l)$  provides a bijective function from the set

$$\{r_l \in R(A) \, | \, \sigma(r_l) > \sigma(r_i) = c_{j_0} \text{ and } r_l < r_i \}$$

to the previous set. This last set has size x (see (6)), so we find that  $j_0 - i + y = x$ .

Looking back at equation (5), we see that the total number of inversions in  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\operatorname{inv}(\sigma) = \operatorname{inv}(\sigma') + x + y = \operatorname{inv}(\sigma') + (j_0 - i + y) + y$$
$$= \operatorname{inv}(\sigma') + j_0 + i - 2i + 2y$$

so  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma) - \operatorname{inv}(\sigma') = j_0 + i + 2(y - i)$ . So if  $j_0 + i$  is even, then  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)$  and  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma')$  have the same parity (i.e. both are odd or both are even), meaning that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma') = (-1)^{i+j_0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma'),$$

as desired. On the other hand, if  $i + j_0$  is odd, then  $inv(\sigma)$  and  $inv(\sigma')$  have opposite parity, so again

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma') = (-1)^{i+j_0} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma'),$$

as desired.

This completes the proof, at least for cofactor expansion along rows. A symmetric argument works for cofactor expansion along columns.  $\hfill \Box$